

Reinforcing Good Assessment: Criteria for Achieving an Overall Good, Satisfactory, or Poor Rating for Assessment Record

I. Using the findings of the UAEC

- A. To encourage quality assessments, we recommend that any department whose assessment is rated “GOOD” by the criteria listed below be given a waiver for the following year’s Assessment Record. The department must continue with its assessment during the year of the waiver; however, no report is required. The following year’s Assessment Record will cover the previous two year’s results.

Criteria for “GOOD” rating

- 1) All Yes/No items are rated as a “YES” by the UAEC AND
- 2) No 4-point item is rated lower than a "3" AND
- 3) The average of 4-point items is 3.5* or higher.

*If the average rating is between 3.4 and 3.5, the Chair and/or Director of Assessment & Evaluation will re- review to ensure fairness.

- B. To encourage departments to collectively attempt to complete a quality assessment, any department rated as “POOR” by the criteria listed below will be required to attend (**all** faculty of the department, **required**) an assessment workshop given by the Director of Assessment (or his/her designee)

Criteria for “POOR” rating:

- 1) 3 or more “No’s” on yes/no items OR
- 2) The average of 4-point items is 2.25* or lower.

*If the average rating is between 2.15 and 2.25, the Chair and/or Director of Assessment & Evaluation will re-review to ensure fairness.

- C. Any department not rated “GOOD” or “POOR” will be given a “SATISFACTORY” rating, and will continue with annual assessments

II. Sharing the results

- A. Requests will be made of the “GOOD” departments to share their assessments as examples for other departments via the web.